

HAMBLEDON PARISH COUNCIL

The next meeting of the Parish Council will be held on Tuesday 18 April 2017 at 20.00
in the Village Hall

AGENDA

QUESTION TIME

Members of the public who wish to raise any matters with the Parish Council are invited to attend between 20.00 and 20.15 when there will be an opportunity for them briefly to address the meeting.

FORMAL BUSINESS

1. MINUTES of the meeting held on 21 March 2017.
2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST
3. FINANCE
Financial statement
Cheques for signature

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| Workstation: ink | £ 83.54 |
| SSALC/NALC: subscription, 2017-8 | £226.15 |
| Tim Coleman: maintenance, April | £180.00 |
4. PLANNING
Planning applications
 - WA17/0551: Hydons Wood House, Feathercombe Lane, Certificate of Lawfulness for change of existing store to ancillary residential accommodation
 - WA17/0556: 9 The Hydons, Salt Lane, Certificate of Lawfulness for existing building works for existing double car portDecisions on recent applications
 - WA16/2505: 8 Nutbourne Cottages - to note Waverley's investigation of a complaint resulting from the grant of planning permission
 - WA17/0204: 6 Nutbourne Cottages, extensions and erection of outbuilding – full permission
 - WA17/0238: Marepond Farmhouse, erection of piers, fences and gates – full permission
 - WA17/0409: 3 The Hydons, erection of Orangery – decision pendingOrchard Farm
Oral report
Dunsfold Park
Oral report
Waverley Local Plan
Report attached.
5. PARISH ASSEMBLY, 2017
Progress report.
6. VILLAGE MATTERS
Highways and footpaths
Oral report

Informal play area

Oral report; and to note the 2017-8 budget allocation (£500)

School places

Oral report

Other village matters

Oral reports, as required.

7. VILLAGE ORGANISATIONS
Almshouses Nursery School Village Hall Village Shop
8. OUTSIDE MEETINGS
9. CORRESPONDENCE

Date of next meeting: Tuesday 9 May 2017

WAVERLEY DRAFT LOCL PLAN PART 1; STRATEGIC POLICIES AND SITES

I attach Hambledon Parish Council's written response, submitted in October 2016, together with extracts from two documents which have been posted on the Waverley web site relating to the examination in public to be carried out by Jonathan Bore, the appointed Inspector.

It would seem likely that the Joint Parishes will be responding to the Matters and Issues for Examination, in which case Hambledon can perhaps rely on its previously written representations and need not request an appearance at a hearing session. But this is a matter for the Parish Council to decide at its meeting on 18 April.

Jane Woolley
9.4.17

Waverley Borough Council Local Plan Part 1: Strategic Policies and Sites Matters and Issues for Examination

1. Calculation of the Objectively Assessed Need for Housing (OAN)

I do not consider that the OAN adjustment to improve affordability is adequate.

.....

2. Unmet need in the Housing Market Area (HMA) and elsewhere

Should Waverley make an allowance in its Plan to accommodate the unmet housing needs of Woking?

.....

Should the housing requirement include an allowance for London migration / unmet need?

3. Housing trajectory

3.1 Is the housing delivery trajectory at Appendix C of the Plan a reasonable estimate of delivery over the plan period 2013-32, having regard to the likely contribution of the strategic sites, especially Dunsfold Aerodrome?

3.2 What are the key infrastructure improvements required to deliver the required amount of housing and other development? Are there any significant infrastructure delivery issues (transport, water, sewerage etc.) that might affect delivery of the Plan's proposals or progress with the housing trajectory?

4. Five year housing land supply

Note: I consider that the methodology the Council has used to calculate the 5-year housing land supply is appropriate.

.....

Should the Plan's policies contain any flexibility measures to ensure a continued 5-year supply? (For example, expressing the housing requirement as a minimum and allocating additional sites for contingency purposes, or allowing for small-scale development outside but abutting settlement boundaries where major policy constraints are absent).

5. Spatial strategy

Note: this is a general section on the soundness of the spatial strategy. The site allocations, and any Green Belt issues, will be dealt with separately. 5.1 Paragraph 3.2 of the Plan states that most of the new development will be located in and around the main settlements of Farnham, Godalming, Haslemere and Cranleigh which have the best available access to jobs, services, housing, community facilities and so on. How can the Dunsfold Aerodrome allocation be justified against this spatial strategy?

5.2 To what extent does the apportionment of housing in Policy ALH1 reflect the needs of the settlements themselves?

5.3 Does the apportionment of development in the Plan have proper regard to the quality and capacity of the road network, and the quality and capacity of public transport? What are the principal transport improvements and projects that are required for the implementation of the Plan?

5.4 As Farnham is the largest town, with a good range of shops and services, excellent access to the national road network and good rail and other public transport links, why hasn't more housing land been allocated there in the interests of a sound sustainable development strategy?

5.5 Will the Plan provision for Farnham fall significantly short of identified need, for both market housing and affordable housing?

5.6 Having regard to the spatial strategy, why hasn't more housing been allocated to Godalming and Haslemere?

5.7 Is Cranleigh, which is a considerably smaller town, a suitable location for the volume of growth proposed?

5.8 Does the Plan strike the right balance in its apportionment of development to the other settlements?

5.9 If the Plan had to accommodate a greater housing requirement, for example through a higher OAN or the accommodation of unmet need, what would be the implications in terms of the spatial strategy?

.....

7. Green Belt

.....

7.3 Is the Plan sound in its choice of sites to be removed from the Green Belt?

- Land south east of Binscombe, Godalming
- Land between Aaron's Hill and Halfway Lane, Godalming
- Chiddingfold
- Elstead
- Milford and Witley

.....

9. Dunsfold Aerodrome

9.1 What is the realistic volume of car trips that can be expected from the site, given the probability of car-based movements for commuting and shopping trips and the potential for car movements for educational trips (there being no proposed secondary school)?

9.2 On which communities will the main environmental effects of additional traffic movement from Dunsfold Aerodrome fall, having regard to the above?

9.3 What are the implications for the road network, including:

- the A3
- the A281 and other routes into Guildford
- the character of roads in the countryside, AONB and AGLV
- roads in other districts?

9.4 In terms of transport, what is the timing of the "package of measures" in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan" (including any outside the Borough) and should this be in the Local Plan?

9.5 What degree of:

- environmental mitigation
- traffic mitigation

could realistically be expected from these measures?

9.6 What key non-highway infrastructure measures are required on or outside the site, what are the risks to delivery and the implications for the trajectory from the site? (see also question 3.2).

9.7 What justification is there for regarding this site as mostly "previously developed land" given that the majority of the site is open and grassed?

9.8 What will be the effect on the setting of the AONB, particularly important panoramas from viewpoints within the AONB?

9.9 Would the range of employment and retail and social facilities proposed for the site allow for an adequate degree of self-sufficiency or would the scale of development be inadequate to support a critical mass of facilities?

Examination into the soundness of the Waverley Local Plan Part 1: Strategic Policies and Sites

GUIDANCE NOTE FROM THE INSPECTOR

.....

Progressing your representations

.....

Those who wish to proceed by written means can rely on what they have already submitted. The right to participate in a hearing extends only to those who propose changes to the Plan in order to make it sound and legally-compliant. There is no need for those supporting the Plan or making comments to take part in the hearings, although they may attend as observers. I may invite additional participants to attend the hearings where I think they are needed to enable the soundness of the Plan to be determined.

.....

If any person or organisation wishes to change from a written representation to an appearance at a hearing session or vice-versa they should inform the PO by **Friday 9th June 2017**.

.....

The hearings are due to open on 27 June 2017. In due course a draft timed agenda for the housing session and a draft list of participants will be published separately on the Council's website.